

6-1-2018

Erratum to inequivalence of non-aggressiveness in clinically diagnosed lung cancers and overdiagnosis in lung cancer screening trials.

Jerome M Reich

Thoracic Oncology Program, Earle A Chiles Research Institute, Portland, OR, USA.

Jong S Kim

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.psjhealth.org/publications>



Part of the [Oncology Commons](#), and the [Pulmonology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Reich, Jerome M and Kim, Jong S, "Erratum to inequivalence of non-aggressiveness in clinically diagnosed lung cancers and overdiagnosis in lung cancer screening trials." (2018). *Journal Articles and Abstracts*. 668.
<https://digitalcommons.psjhealth.org/publications/668>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Providence St. Joseph Health Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal Articles and Abstracts by an authorized administrator of Providence St. Joseph Health Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@providence.org.

Erratum to inequivalence of non-aggressiveness in clinically diagnosed lung cancers and overdiagnosis in lung cancer screening trials

Jerome M. Reich¹, Jong S. Kim²

¹Thoracic Oncology Program, Earle A Chiles Research Institute, Portland, OR, USA; ²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Portland State University, OR, USA

Correspondence to: Jerome M. Reich, MD, FCCP. 7400 SW Barnes Rd. A242, Portland, OR 97225-7007, USA. Email: Reichje@isp.com.

doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.05.142

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2018.05.142>

Erratum to: J Thorac Dis 2018;10:1230-2

Inequivalence of non-aggressiveness in clinically diagnosed lung cancers and overdiagnosis in lung cancer screening trials

Since the publication of the article that appeared on pages 1230–1232, Vol 10, No 3 (1) (March 2018) issue of the *Journal of Thoracic Disease*, additional follow-up of the Danish Lung Cancer Screening Trial has come to my attention.

The contents and related references were originally showed as:

“(IV) OD, measured as the excess cancers in screened vs. control cohorts after completion of follow-up, will be far higher in CT than in CR trials. In the large CR trials, the excess was 22–24% (11); in the NLST (*vs.* CR controls) it was 18% (5). The sum of the excess in CR *vs.* unscreened plus the CT *vs.* CR controls, 23% + ($\geq 18\%$) $\geq 41\%$. In the three reporting European trials of CT *vs.* unscreened controls, the pooled excess was 48% (12-14).

12. Saghir Z, Dirksen A, Ashraf H, et al. CT screening for lung cancer brings forward early disease. The randomised Danish Lung Cancer Screening Trial: status after five annual screening rounds with low-dose CT. *Thorax* 2012;67:296-301.
13. Pastorino U, Rossi M, Rosato V, et al. Annual or biennial CT screening versus observation in heavy smokers: 5-year results of the MILD trial. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2012;21:308-315.
14. Infante M, Cavuto S, Lutman FR, et al. Long-Term Follow-up Results of the DANTE Trial, a Randomized Study of Lung Cancer Screening with Spiral Computed Tomography. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:1166-1175.”

The datum of 48% should be updated as 42% and reference 12 should be changed. The updated information is as follows:

“(IV) OD, measured as the excess cancers in screened vs. control cohorts after completion of follow-up, will be far higher in CT than in CR trials. In the large CR trials, the excess was 22–24% (11); in the NLST (*vs.* CR controls) it was 18% (5). The sum of the excess in CR *vs.* unscreened plus the CT *vs.* CR controls, 23% + ($\geq 18\%$) $\geq 41\%$. In the three reporting European trials of CT *vs.* unscreened controls, the pooled excess was 42% (12-14).

12. Wille MM, Dirksen A, Haseem A, et al. Results of the randomized danish lung cancer screening trial with focus on high-risk profiling. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2016;193:542-561.
13. Pastorino U, Rossi M, Rosato V, et al. Annual or biennial CT screening versus observation in heavy smokers: 5-year results of the MILD trial. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2012;21:308-315.
14. Infante M, Cavuto S, Lutman FR, et al. Long-Term Follow-up Results of the DANTE Trial, a Randomized Study of Lung Cancer Screening with Spiral Computed Tomography. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:1166-1175.”

All authors agree to the erratum of the paper. We apologize for the inconvenience caused.

References

1. Reich JM, Kim JS. Inequivalence of non-aggressiveness in clinically diagnosed lung cancers and overdiagnosis in lung cancer screening trials. *J Thorac Dis* 2018;10:1230-2.

Cite this article as: Reich JM, Kim JS. Erratum to inequivalence of non-aggressiveness in clinically diagnosed lung cancers and overdiagnosis in lung cancer screening trials. *J Thorac Dis* 2018;10(6):E508-E509. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.05.142