

2-2018

Implementation of an EMR-based continuous electrocardiographic monitoring (CEM) order to reduce inappropriate utilization

Ty J. Gluckman

Center for Cardiovascular Analytics, Research and Data Science (CARDS), Providence St. Joseph Heart Institute, Portland, Oregon, Tyler.Gluckman@providence.org

Maulin P. Shah

Providence St. Joseph Health, Informatics, Renton, Washington, Maulin.Shah@providence.org

Nicole E. Ondoworth

Providence St. Joseph Health, Informatics, Renton, Washington, Nicole.Ondoworth@providence.org

Stephanie C. Fine

Providence St. Joseph Health, Healthcare Intelligence, Renton, Washington, Stephanie.Fine@providence.org

Jonathan V. Laius

Providence St. Joseph Health, Healthcare Intelligence, Renton, Washington, Jonathan.Laius@providence.org

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.psjhealth.org/other_pubs

 Part of the [Cardiology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Gluckman, Ty J.; Shah, Maulin P.; Ondoworth, Nicole E.; Fine, Stephanie C.; Laius, Jonathan V.; Yu, Wendy W.; Stevens, Janelle D.; Schoepflin Sanders, Shelley L.; Halperin, Ruben O.; Batkoff, Braden W.; Dressler, Robert M.; and Sanz, Mark L., "Implementation of an EMR-based continuous electrocardiographic monitoring (CEM) order to reduce inappropriate utilization" (2018). *Presentations, Posters, Etc.*. 30.

https://digitalcommons.psjhealth.org/other_pubs/30

Authors

Ty J. Gluckman, Maulin P. Shah, Nicole E. Ondoworth, Stephanie C. Fine, Jonathan V. Laius, Wendy W. Yu, Janelle D. Stevens, Shelley L. Schoepflin Sanders, Ruben O. Halperin, Braden W. Batkoff, Robert M. Dressler, and Mark L. Sanz



Implementation of an EMR-based continuous electrocardiographic monitoring (CEM) order to reduce inappropriate utilization

Ty J Gluckman^a, Maulin P Shah^b, Nicole E Ondoworth^b, Stephanie C Fine^c, Jonathan V Larius^c, Wendy W Yu, Janelle D Stevens^d, Shelley L Schoepflin Sanders^d, Ruben O Halperin^d, Braden W Batkoff^a, Robert M Dressler^e, Mark L Sanz^a

^aCenter for Cardiovascular Analytics, Research and Data Science (CARDS), Providence St. Joseph Heart Institute, Portland, Oregon; ^bProvidence St. Joseph Health, Informatics, Renton, Washington; ^cProvidence St. Joseph Health, Healthcare Intelligence, Renton, Washington, ^dProvidence Health and Services, Department of Medicine, Portland, Oregon; and ^eChristiana Care Health System, Newark, Delaware

Background

- Continuous electrocardiographic monitoring (CEM) outside of the intensive care unit (ICU) represents an over-utilized resource that increases the cost of care.
- A retrospective chart review at our largest hospitals in Oregon found that approximately 35-40% of patients on CEM did not have a recommended indication for its continued use.

Methods




- A new time-defined order was built within our electronic medical record (EMR) system to reduce the initiation and duration of CEM in adults.
- Diagnoses for which CEM is commonly ordered, but not recommended, were listed.
- The order prompts providers to select a general and specific indication for CEM. Depending upon the indication, the order duration may be indefinite or time defined (24-72 hours). The order does not expire for patients residing in the ICU, obstetrics unit, or emergency department. Time-defined orders automatically discontinue after the specified period, without the need for a separate order.
- For patients with a time-defined indication, a nursing best practice advisory (BPA) was created to provide an alert of the impending order expiration; the BPA makes recommendations about the likelihood that CEM could be safely stopped based on the patient's vital signs in the preceding 8 hours.

- Over this period, CEM decreased modestly overall (-8.6%) with notable variability between hospitals (+2.0% to -20.2%). Significant overlap for time spent on CEM was observed for hospitals without the ability to perform cardiac catheterization or cardiac surgery and those that could perform one or both.
- For 7,773 patients in whom a nursing BPA had fired to facilitate removal of CEM, there were appreciable removal delays (23% with a 4-12 hour delay, 14% with a 12-24 hour delay, and 18% with a >24 hour delay).

Facility-Level Change in CEM

Number of Records	Tele Time Encounter	% Tele Time in Encounter PRE	% Tele Time in Encounter POST	% Tele Time in Encounter CHG
8,191	596,078	45.4%	38.1%	-15.9%
262	9,586	54.2%	43.2%	-20.2%
112	2,868	55.8%	46.7%	-16.4%
102	3,623	60.5%	61.7%	1.9%
8,667	612,155	45.6%	38.3%	-16.0%
6,104	397,659	51.8%	46.5%	-10.2%
11,916	820,411	60.8%	61.9%	2.0%
17,177	994,756	69.9%	62.6%	-10.4%
13,926	1,048,922	66.3%	65.2%	-1.6%
10,428	693,399	67.9%	65.7%	-3.2%
59,551	3,955,147	64.3%	61.5%	-4.3%
2,134	95,342	46.0%	42.0%	-8.6%
15,193	985,516	58.3%	53.9%	-7.6%
17,327	1,080,858	57.1%	52.5%	-8.1%
353	7,711	28.5%	26.2%	-8.1%
481	15,473	53.4%	47.6%	-10.9%
4,443	232,982	55.7%	49.8%	-10.5%
1,541	61,054	55.8%	52.2%	-6.4%
6,456	386,721	61.7%	52.0%	-15.7%
1,798	74,479	61.7%	52.5%	-14.8%
1,675	74,092	57.9%	54.7%	-5.6%
12,957	897,901	64.0%	58.6%	-8.5%
29,704	1,750,413	61.0%	54.7%	-10.3%
17,919	888,031	49.4%	41.1%	-16.8%
10,178	735,801	60.7%	50.9%	-16.2%
4,563	219,925	58.6%	52.0%	-11.4%
32,660	1,843,757	54.2%	45.8%	-15.5%
147,909	9,242,330	58.6%	53.5%	-8.6%

Distribution of Hours to Remove CEM After the BPA



Results

- In October 2016, 22 hospitals within our health system (Providence Health & Services) went live with the new CEM order. Over 1.3 years, 147,909 patients spent 9,242,330 hours on CEM.

Conclusions

- CEM represents an over-utilized resource that increases health care costs. While implementation of a time-defined EMR order reduced the duration of time spent on CEM, substantial opportunity to reduce its initiation and duration still persists.